Appleton Wiske Parish Council

Minutes of the Parish Council Meeting held in the Village Hall on Monday 05 December 2016 that commenced at 7.30pm.

Present

Cllrs Ruth Barker (Chair), Ken Blackwood, Derek Partington, Paula Gudger, Jane Strickland, Barry Pope, Leonie Smith (Clerk).

1. Public Comment

3 members of the public present.

Question relating to the neighbourhood plan and selection of sites — seven sites were put forward but only two were voted on, why was the Hornby Road site not considered? DP explained that six sites were offered, two were merged, one was withdrawn and Highways objected to one on grounds of access. This left three sites, including the site on Hornby Road. The merits of each site were considered with HDC Planning and the sites that could offer something to the village apart from purely housing were put forward to the village as preferred sites. No site was rejected.

One member of the public asked what were the factors that led to Hornby Road not being selected as they believed it was because it floods and they stated that this was only because the ditch on the roadside had not been maintained. DP pointed out that part of this site is shown as flooding on the Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning.

2. Apologies and Declaration of interest for any agenda item

Apologies received from Cllr Tim Swales, Cllr Steve Watson & Kim Smith.

KB has placed a personal objection to the Hunters Ride development, which may be discussed in point 4A.

3. Minutes of the November 2016 meeting

Minutes of the November 2016 meeting were agreed and signed off

4. Matters Arising

A. Housing Developments -

- a. **Affordable housing** email from Mark Harbottle key points,
 - i. need to review evidence of housing needs did not come from HDC but from local people – who?
 - ii. Amanda Maddden was already organising a survey for West Rounton and 5 other parishes had already agreed to participate. Appleton Wiske asked for the 2014 housing needs survey to be used – this is correct.
 - iii. Individuals who approached Amanda Madden at the event were asked to complete new (HNA) forms This is incorrect they were asked to complete completely different forms.
 - iv. The figure 8 reflected number of people recorded in 2014 and did not reflect the fact that this was halved to 4. The figure of 8 was simply the number of people who had ticked Housing Association as their preferred tenure.
 - v. The responsibility of consultation rests with Broadacres as the prospective developer. However, all previous communication with the Parish Council had been through Amanda Madden.
 - vi. Broadacres proposes to spread the affordable housing across Hornby Road and another site where?
- b. **DP** suggested that a separate meeting with Mark Harbottle and Amanda Madden may be appropriate as the general feeling is that the PC was

- misled prior to the consultation. They do not feel that a local need for more affordable housing has been demonstrated. This could be a closed meeting and not part of the January Parish Council meeting. **LS** to contact Mark Harbottle and suggest both options and feedback to PC Members. **KB** said that matters of this nature can only be handled at public meetings and would lodge a formal complaint on how this matter is being handled through the complaints procedure.
- c. **KB** questioned the concerns that people have regarding the Hornby Road site as he does not believe that it is due to flooding as this is the bottom end of the field and not the area that is up for development.
- d. **Shorthorn Development** general feeling was that it was the design that was not appropriate to a village but there were no objections to the type of development or number of dwellings.
- B. Recreation Field the ARA had submitted a letter confirming that HDC are now in a position to transfer the ownership of the land currently leased by AWPC and sublet to the ARA. The ARA Trustees would like the PC to consider transferring this land direct to them but failing this would ask that a Deed of Consent be granted for right of access to allow for the development of the Mobile Phone mast, which is planned to be erected in 2017. **KB** suggested that it was better to transfer the land to AWPC for long-term security and grant the right of access. All agreed. **LS** will contact HDC to get this process underway.
- C. **Byelaws KB** to look into this and how the changes relating the creation of Byelaws will impact. He requested that this matter be put on hold until he has time to do this.
- D. Village Green & Yorkshire Water LS has circulated the response from NALC and it was agreed that as a PC we would now let this matter rest but that we should inform members of the Parish that it is their responsibility for the water supply under the green and not PC or Yorkshire Water. KB to draft something to go in the January Newsletter and to be delivered to each property that is impacted by this. KB to draft ready for January meeting.
- E. Village Grass Cutting for 2017 onwards one quote received from the 5 contractors contacted. LS to circulate the quote to AWPC members. KB to discuss with the members of the church committee and feedback to the LS
- F. Report on progress and outstanding matters from previous meetings
 - PG has taken some photographs of the best locations for signage. The
 nearest existing post on Hornby Road for the slip road is just too far back
 and it is a telegraph pole so cannot be used. The ideal location is on the
 triangle and could also signpost the church and chapel. Signpost coming
 from Hornby would be outside Lucerne. PG to get quotes for the signs and
 designs
 - KB to contact the law society about accessing files in the archives of Forth & Co.
 - Stile at Hornby Road **KB** is on with mending this but for the time being the lower step has been removed.

G. Matters arising for information only –

- Wiske Farm Cottage erecting fence at the front of the property. Essentially should have asked the permission of the Parish Council prior to going ahead with the building of the fence. LS to draft a document regarding development to properties that border the village green to be issued to the relevant houses. KB requested that it be noted that he believes this to be an encroachment onto Village Green
- Pavement parking seems to be increasing. People permanently parking and blocking the pavements. LS to email the police and ask them to look into this matter.

5. Correspondence

- a. YLCA e-mails issued as they arrive
- **b.** Other correspondence –

6. Circulars

No Circulars issued.

7. Planning Matters

a) No Planning matters

8. Financial matters

- a. Agreed to pay Appleton Wiske Village Hall £10.00 for November Meeting BACS payment
- b. Any other financial matters

9. Reports from Representatives

- PG doctors' surgery there is an imminent inspection from CQC and Dr Rodgers has bought some points to the attention of Linda Whyman & the Village Hall Committee. It is mainly items that need to be removed from the room, for example the items belonging to the cubs. They have also asked if the Printer can be put in the cupboard, there were no objections to this..
- PG Village Hall committee have asked if we can put the Tote Winners for the year 2016 in the next newsletter – no objections
- KB confirmed that the flowerbed outside the school is the responsibility of the school and grounds maintenance have suggested that this area would be easier to maintain if it was either grassed or paving slabs. Preference would be slabs and a couple of nice tubs. DP has the stain to do the bench outside the school if anyone wants to do it.
- Footpath in front of numbers 1 & 2 Prospect View needs to be reported to Highways. Also Baker Street has several pot holes and is used by pedestrians as there is no pavement. DP to report Baker Street and PG to report on Prospect View.

10. AOB for information or January agenda

• All Councillors to provide LS with articles for newsletter by the agreed quarterly deadlines of 15th January, 15th April, 15 July & 15 October.

11. Public Comment

One member of the public commented on the apartments proposed on the Shorthorn development sites and stated that they have relatives that live in flats in a city and a large town, and would question how they are appropriate in a village. 3 storey high buildings are going to be very visible as the Shorthorn is on the higher part of the village. Would ask the PC to consider this. As yet no detailed planning has been submitted. Also think this needs to be considered with regard to the Hunters Ride development and then when the decisions are made regarding this development and would ask the PC to support the development of 5 properties with the understanding that this cannot be developed further in the future.

No further public comment

Meeting closed at 21:00 p.m. Next meeting Wednesday 04 January 2016

Signed Date Page Number: 773